

This question paper contains 4 printed pages]

Roll No.

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

S. No. of Question Paper : 7835A

Unique Paper Code : 62101135

GC

Name of the Paper : Introduction to Logic

Name of the Course : B.A. (Prog.) Philosophy (In lieu of MIL)

Semester : I

Duration : 3 Hours

Maximum Marks : 75

(Write your Roll No. on the top immediately on receipt of this question paper.)

Attempt all questions.

1. Answer any two of the following : 2×5=10

(i) Define an argument. What do you understand by a premise and a conclusion ? Explain with examples.

(ii) Differentiate between a deductive and an inductive argument with examples.

(iii) Can an invalid argument have true conclusion ? Explain with examples.

2. What do you understand by a categorical proposition ? Explain with examples how categorical propositions are distinguished from each other as per quality and quantity. 5

Or

Explain and illustrate Traditional Square of Opposition of Propositions.

P.T.O.

3. Do the conversion, obversion and contraposition of any *two* of the following statements :
 $(1+1+2) \times 2 = 8$
- (i) All surgeons are doctors
- (ii) No children are voters
- (iii) Some students are not leaders.
4. What are the opposite statements of the following ? And if the following statement is true, what can you infer about the truth-value of its opposites ?
 6
 'Some athletes are not professionals'.
5. Translate any *four* of the following into standard-form categorical propositions and also name those propositions :
 $4 \times 1\frac{1}{2} = 6$
- (i) All that glitters are not gold.
- (ii) A bat is a mammal.
- (iii) Only members are allowed to attend the meeting.
- (iv) A few cars are expensive.
- (v) Nothing is perfect in this world.
- (vi) Children are mostly naughty.
6. Write any *two* of the following syllogisms into standard-form and name their mood and figure :
 $2 \times 3 = 6$
- (i) All proteins are organic compounds; hence all enzymes are proteins, as all enzymes are organic compounds.

(ii) Some scientists are not hardworking people, as some scientists are not professors and all professors are hardworking people.

(iii) Some engineers are not happy people. No happy people are underpaid. It follows that some engineers are underpaid.

7. Test the validity/invalidity of any *two* of the following by using syllogistic rules and fallacies : 2×4=8

(i) AEE-1

(ii) AII-2

(iii) EAE-3.

8. Symbolize any *five* of the following statements : 5

(i) India will not win the series if Kohli does not play well. (I, K)

(ii) Unless I am mistaken, Karan is both a producer and a director. (M, P, D)

(iii) Not both Preeti and Jyoti will attend the party. (P, J)

(iv) There will be peace in the world if and only if terrorism is eradicated. (P, T)

(v) Hillary will win the election only if she defeats Donald. (H, D)

(vi) Lakshmi is neither hardworking nor intelligent. (H, I)

(vii) It is not the case that if you stop studying then you will be able to keep your grades. (S, K)

9. If P, Q, R are true and X, Y, Z are false, determine the truth-value of any *two* of the following : 2×3=6

(i) $(\sim P \cdot \sim X) \supset (\sim Q \vee Z)$

(ii) $(P \vee R) \cdot (\sim Y \supset X)$

(iii) $(Y \supset \sim Q) \vee (Z \cdot \sim R)$.

10. Determine the logical status (tautology etc.) of any *one* of the following statement forms : 5

(i) $(p \supset q) \vee (\sim q \supset r)$

(ii) $(\sim p \cdot \sim r) \supset (q \vee r)$.

11. Use truth table method to prove the validity/invalidity of any *one* of the following argument forms : 5

(i) $p \supset q$

$\sim q \supset \sim r$

$\therefore p \supset \sim r$

(ii) $(p \cdot r) \supset (p \vee q)$

$\sim(p \vee q)$

$\therefore \sim(p \cdot r)$

12. Using shorter truth table method determine the validity/invalidity of any *one* of the following : 5

(i) $p \supset (q \supset r)$

$\therefore (p \cdot q) \supset r$

(ii) $(p \supset q) \supset r$

$\sim r$

$\therefore \sim p \vee q$